



Norwegian University of
Science and Technology

LIE SYMMETRY ANALYSIS OF THE FIELD EQUATIONS IN MULTI-HIGGS MODELS

Based on work in preparation

Marius Solberg

Scalars,
Warszawa, September 24, 2025.

Point symmetries of systems of PDEs

- ▶ **System** of m order n **PDEs**:

$$\Delta^i(x, y, y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(n)}) = 0, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \quad (1)$$

where $y^{(j)}$ is the **vector** all j 'th order **derivatives**.

- ▶ **Point symmetry**: Smooth **transformation** $S: \mathbb{R}^{d+q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+q}$

$$S[(x, y)] = (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \quad (2)$$

which maps **solutions** of $\Delta = 0$ to new **solutions**, i.e. **preserve** the **structure** of Δ :

$$\Delta = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\Delta} \equiv \Delta(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{y}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{y}^{(n)}) = 0 \quad (3)$$

with

$$\hat{y}_{,\mu_1 \dots \mu_k} \equiv S\left(\frac{d^k y^i}{dx^{\mu_1} \dots dx^{\mu_k}}\right) = \frac{d^k \hat{y}^i}{d\hat{x}^{\mu_1} \dots d\hat{x}^{\mu_k}}. \quad (4)$$

Field equations

- ▶ Want to let Δ be the field eqs. of a theory with Lagrangian \mathcal{L} and action \mathcal{S}

$$\mathcal{S} = \int \mathcal{L} dx^0 \dots dx^{d-1}. \quad (5)$$

- ▶ Consider variations of the fields y , demand stationary values of $\mathcal{S} \Rightarrow$ Euler-Lagrange equations i.e. field equations

$$E_i(\mathcal{L}) = 0, \quad E_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} - d_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{,\mu}^i} + \dots, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, q\}, \quad (6)$$

with $d_\mu \equiv d/dx^\mu$, the total derivative.

3 kinds of symmetries of the field equations

- ▶ **Strict variational** symmetries: First order **variation**

$$\delta(\mathcal{L}dx^0 \dots dx^{d-1}) = 0 \quad (\text{i})$$

- ▶ **Divergence** symmetries:

$$\delta(\mathcal{L}dx^0 \dots dx^{d-1}) = d_\mu \beta^\mu \quad (\text{ii})$$

- ▶ (i) and (ii) are **variational symmetries**, i.e. **symmetries** of the **action** \mathcal{S} .
- ▶ (iii): **Non-variational** symmetries, only symmetries of $E(\mathcal{L}) = 0$
- ▶ \Rightarrow **3 Lie symmetry algebras**

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\text{svar}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\text{var}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\text{EL}} \quad (7)$$

Finding the Lie point symmetries

- ▶ Lie point symmetry: A family point symmetries $\exp(tX)$ smoothly connected to identity (i.e. not discrete)
- ▶ Infinitesimal generator of a point transformation is a vector field

$$X = \xi^\mu(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \eta^i(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \quad (8)$$

- ▶ From now on: $\xi = 0$ (not considering spacetime trafos). Want to determine $\eta^i(x, y)$ that generates symmetries.
- ▶ Have to consider the prolongation of X to derivatives:

$$\text{pr } X = X + (d_\mu \eta^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{,\mu}^i} + \sum_{\mu \leq \nu} (d_\mu d_\nu \eta^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{,\mu\nu}^i} + \dots \quad (9)$$

- ▶ Hence, if $X(\phi_1) = \phi_2$ then $\text{pr } X(\partial_\mu \phi_1) = \partial_\mu \phi_2$. Moreover,

$$\text{pr } X(\mathcal{L}) = \delta \mathcal{L}. \quad (10)$$

The determining equations

- ▶ Lie: (holds for general Δ): \mathfrak{g} is a **symmetry algebra** of $E(\mathcal{L}) = 0$ if and only if

$$(\text{pr } X(E_i(\mathcal{L})))|_{E(\mathcal{L})=0} = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, q\}, \quad (11)$$

for **all** infinitesimal **generators** $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

- ▶ (11) is called the **determining equations** $\mathcal{D}_j = 0$ of \mathfrak{g} .
- ▶ \mathcal{D} large, **over-determined** system of **linear PDEs** in the coefficients $\xi^\mu(x, y)$ and $\eta^i(x, y)$
- ▶ We use the Mathematica package **SYM** to find \mathcal{D} .¹

¹Dimas, S. and Tsoubelis, D. SYM: A new symmetry-finding package for Mathematica

Two-Higgs-doublet model (2HDM)

- ▶ Test our understanding and implementation of Lie's method by applying to a well-understood example: 2HDM:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} W_{\mu\nu}^a W^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{i=1}^2 (D_\mu \Phi_i)^\dagger (D^\mu \Phi_i) - V(\Phi_1, \Phi_2), \quad (12)$$

with Higgs doublets

$$\Phi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \\ \phi_3 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix}, \Phi_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_5 + i\phi_6 \\ \phi_7 + i\phi_8 \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

and most general potential

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = & m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2 - [m_{12}^2 \Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2 + \text{h.c.}] \\ & + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} (\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1) (\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2) (\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_1) \\ & + \left[\frac{\lambda_5}{2} (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_6 (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_1) (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2) + \lambda_7 (\Phi_2^\dagger \Phi_2) (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2) + \text{h.c.} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

- ▶ 2HDM: 24 Euler-Lagrange equations (16 gauge fields + 8 real, scalar fields)

$$E_i(\mathcal{L}) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 24 \quad \stackrel{\text{SYM}}{\Rightarrow} \quad \mathcal{D}_j = 0 \quad (15)$$

- ▶ We will only consider (purely) scalar symmetries, and set:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^\mu &= 0, \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq \mu \leq 3, \\ \eta^i &= 0, \quad \text{for all } 9 \leq i \leq 24. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

- ▶ \Rightarrow Simplest determining equations:

$$\partial_{\phi_j} \partial_{\phi_k} \eta^i = 0, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 8, \quad (17)$$

- ▶ \Rightarrow only affine scalar symmetries are possible:

$$\eta^i = a_i + b_{ij} \phi_j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 8, \quad (18)$$

and we substitute (18) into the determining eqs.+demand all coefficients of all distinct monomials in the fields are zero $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_k = 0$.

Solutions of the determining equations

- ▶ By considering **different parameter cases** (like $m_{11}^2 \neq m_{22}^2$) and **reducing the potential** (like $m_{12}^2 = \Im(\lambda_5) = 0$) we can **solve** for the a_i 's ($= 0$) and the b_{ij} 's.
- ▶ The different solutions correspond to **symmetry algebras** and **generators**

$$\mathfrak{su}(2)_{\text{HF}} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_Y = \text{span}(H_1, H_2, X_{\text{PQ}}, X_Y), \quad (19)$$

$$\text{Equivalent} \begin{cases} \mathfrak{u}(1)_1 \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_Y = \text{span}(H_1, X_Y), & (20) \\ \mathfrak{u}(1)_2 \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_Y = \text{span}(H_2, X_Y), & (21) \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{u}(1)_3 \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_Y = \text{span}(X_{\text{PQ}}, X_Y), \quad (22)$$

$$\mathfrak{u}(1)_Y = \text{span}(X_Y). \quad (23)$$

for generators

$$H_1 = \phi_6 \partial_{\phi_1} - \phi_5 \partial_{\phi_2} + \phi_8 \partial_{\phi_3} - \phi_7 \partial_{\phi_4} + \phi_2 \partial_{\phi_5} - \phi_1 \partial_{\phi_6} + \phi_4 \partial_{\phi_7} - \phi_3 \partial_{\phi_8}, \quad (24)$$

$$H_2 = \phi_5 \partial_{\phi_1} + \phi_6 \partial_{\phi_2} + \phi_7 \partial_{\phi_3} + \phi_8 \partial_{\phi_4} - \phi_1 \partial_{\phi_5} - \phi_2 \partial_{\phi_6} - \phi_3 \partial_{\phi_7} - \phi_4 \partial_{\phi_8}, \quad (25)$$

$$X_{\text{PQ}} = \phi_2 \partial_{\phi_1} - \phi_1 \partial_{\phi_2} + \phi_4 \partial_{\phi_3} - \phi_3 \partial_{\phi_4} - \phi_6 \partial_{\phi_5} + \phi_5 \partial_{\phi_6} - \phi_8 \partial_{\phi_7} + \phi_7 \partial_{\phi_8}, \quad (26)$$

$$X_Y = -\phi_2 \partial_{\phi_1} + \phi_1 \partial_{\phi_2} - \phi_4 \partial_{\phi_3} + \phi_3 \partial_{\phi_4} - \phi_6 \partial_{\phi_5} + \phi_5 \partial_{\phi_6} - \phi_8 \partial_{\phi_7} + \phi_7 \partial_{\phi_8} \quad (27)$$

The possible, inequivalent symmetry algebras

- ▶ I.e. only **three inequivalent symmetry algebras**, $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{\text{HF}} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_Y$, $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_Y$ and $\mathfrak{u}(1)_Y$, consistent with established theory.
- ▶ Moreover, we show that under "**mild**" **conditions** (satisfied by the **2HDM**), for **kinetic terms** T and a **symmetry** X we generally have

$$\text{pr } X(T) = 0 \Rightarrow X \text{ is strictly variational} \quad (28)$$

- ▶ \Rightarrow **all symmetries** in the **2HDM** are **strictly variational**, no matter the different **parameter conditions**.
- ▶ \Rightarrow **no divergence symmetries** or **non-variational symmetries** in the **2HDM**.

- ▶ The **Lie symmetry method** is "universal" and may be applied to **any model**, e.g. the **SM augmented** by a real, scalar gauge **singlet** s :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SMS}} = -\frac{1}{4} W_{\mu\nu}^a W^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu \Phi)^\dagger (D^\mu \Phi) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu s \partial^\mu s - V(\Phi, s), \quad (29)$$

$$V(\Phi, s) = -\mu^2 \Phi^\dagger \Phi + \lambda (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2 + \alpha s - \mu_s s^2 + \kappa s^3 + \lambda_s s^4 + \kappa_{s\phi} \Phi^\dagger \Phi s + \lambda_{s\phi} \Phi^\dagger \Phi s^2. \quad (30)$$

- ▶ Again we only find **affine, scalar symmetries** ($\phi_5 \equiv s$)

$$\eta^i = a_i + b_{ij} \phi_j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 5. \quad (31)$$

- ▶ **No reparametrization freedom**, but a **small subtlety** concerning the **linear term** αs which usually, but **not always**, may be **eliminated** through a **shift** $s \rightarrow s - \beta$.
- ▶ We find the **4 possible symmetries** (only X_Y and $X_1 = \partial_s$ variational),

$$\mathfrak{a}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_Y, \mathfrak{u}(1)_1 \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_Y, \mathfrak{u}(1)_2 \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_Y, \mathfrak{u}(1)_Y. \quad (32)$$

- Lagrangian of SM+KS may be written, with sums up to K :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SMKS}} = -\frac{1}{4} W_{\mu\nu}^a W^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu \Phi)^\dagger (D^\mu \Phi) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu s_i \partial^\mu s_i - V(\Phi, s), \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Phi, s) = & -\mu^2 \Phi^\dagger \Phi + \lambda (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2 \\ & + \alpha_i s_i - \sum_{i \leq j} m_{ij} s_i s_j + \sum_{i \leq j \leq k} \kappa_{ijk} s_i s_j s_k + \sum_{i \leq j \leq k \leq l} \lambda_{ijkl} s_i s_j s_k s_l \\ & + \kappa_i s_i \Phi^\dagger \Phi + \sum_{i \leq j} \lambda_{ij} s_i s_j \Phi^\dagger \Phi. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

- $K = 2$: We only get affine, scalar symmetries ($\phi_5 \equiv s_1, \phi_6 \equiv s_2$)

$$\eta^i = a_i + b_{ij} \phi_j \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 6. \quad (35)$$

- Have to keep the potential reduced, due to $O(2)$ reparametrization freedom. Some subtleties concerning the linear terms $\alpha_i s_i$ which sometimes cannot be eliminated through a shift $s_j \rightarrow s_j - \beta_j$. (Work in progress.)

Conclusions

- ▶ Lie symmetry analysis is a "universal" method for finding all Lie symmetries (including scalar, gauge, spacetime and higher order symmetries).
- ▶ Missing discrete symmetries may be identified through the automorphism groups of the Lie symmetry algebras obtained through the method.
- ▶ May be applied to models with several parameters, several variables and reparametrization freedom like 2HDM and SM+2S.
- ▶ Detects all symmetries of the field equations of a model, including divergence and non-variational symmetries.
- ▶ Hence, there are no divergence or non-variational symmetries in the 2HDM.