

#### Seeded phase transitions

#### **Simone Blasi DESY Hamburg**

**GW BSM 4**, Warsaw, 26.06.2025

SB, Mariotti [2203.16450] PRL SB, Jinno, Konstandin, Rubira, Stomberg [2302.06952] JCAP Agrawal, SB, Mariotti, Nee [2312.06749] JHEP SB, Mariotti [2405.08060] SciPost Phys. + ongoing



#### Introduction



# Symmetries are **restored** at high temperatures/early times

$$\langle \phi \rangle : G \to H$$

# Spontaneous breaking while the Universe expands and cools down

4

#### Cosmological phase transitions $\Rightarrow$

#### Key to address open questions: baryogenesis

#### Aftermath directly observable in **GWs**

#### Evidence for **new** fundamental **physics**



#### Phase transitions source GWs **Topology** of the vacuum: Formation of defects and annihilation Phase transition at $T_c$ $\langle \phi \rangle : G \to H$ Strength: Bubble collision, hydrodynamics

#### Phase transitions source GWs **Topology** of the vacuum: Formation of defects and annihilation Phase transition at $T_c$ $\langle \phi \rangle : G \to H$ Strength: Bubble collision, hydrodynamics

Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4





Fig. from Schmitz [2002.04615] JHEP



#### Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4

### **Nucleation theory**

 Assume thermal fluctuations in homogeneous spacetime:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x},\tau) = \phi(r), \quad r = |\mathbf{x}|$$

• Tunneling rate per unit volume given by O(3) action  $S_3/T$ 

$$\gamma_V \sim T^4 \exp(-S_3/T)$$

Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4

Coleman 1977 (PRD) Callan, Coleman 1977 (PRD) Linde 1983 (NPB)



### Nucleation theory

 Assume thermal fluctuations in homogeneous spacetime:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x},\tau) = \phi(r), \quad r = |\mathbf{x}|$$

• Tunneling rate per unit volume given by O(3) action  $S_3/T$ 

$$\gamma_V \sim T^4 \exp(-S_3/T)$$

Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4

Coleman 1977 (PRD) Callan, Coleman 1977 (PRD) Linde 1983 (NPB)



4

### What about impurities?



#### MONOPOLE AND VORTEX DISSOCIATION AND DECAY OF THE FALSE VACUUM

Paul Joseph STEINHARDT

Lyman Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

Received 17 February 1981

"If monopole (or vortex) solutions exist for a metastable or false vacuum, a finite density of monopoles (or vortices) can act as impurity sites that trigger inhomogeneous nucleation and decay of the false vacuum."



#### MONOPOLE AND VORTEX DISSOCIATION AND DECAY OF THE FALSE VACUUM

Paul Joseph STEINHARDT

Lyman Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

Received 17 February 1981

"If monopole (or vortex) solutions exist for a metastable or false vacuum, a finite density of monopoles (or vortices) can act as impurity sites that trigger inhomogeneous nucleation and decay of the false vacuum."

#### Impurities in the early universe

Yutaka Hosotani

Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104 (Received 1 November 1982)

"Now one has to ask the following question: Is the early universe really sufficiently pure in order for supercooling to take place? The aim of this paper is to show that in most cases the early universe is very pure. [...] In this paper we consider ordinary particles as impurities."



#### MONOPOLE AND VORTEX DISSOCIATION AND DECAY OF THE **FALSE VACUUM**

Paul Joseph STEINHARDT

Lyman Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

Received 17 February 1981

"If monopole (or vortex) solutions exist for a metastable or false vacuum, a finite density of monopoles (or vortices) can act as impurity sites that trigger inhomogeneous nucleation and decay of the false vacuum."

Edward Witten\* Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey 08540 (Received 9 April 1984)

"In particle physics it is often assumed that phase transitions are nucleated by thermal fluctuations. In practice, [...] except in very pure, homogeneous samples, phase transitions are often nucleated by various forms of impurities and inhomogeneities of nonthermal origin."



#### Cosmic separation of phases

"What if the transition was nucleated by impurities? In this case the mean spacing between bubbles has nothing to do with free energies of nucleation and is simply the spacing between the relevant impurities."



Compact objects and gravitational effects •

(Coleman-de Luccia, PRD, 1980)





Fig. from Oshita, Yamada, Yamaguchi [1808.01382], PLB Jinno, Kume, Yamada [2310.06901], PLB

Hiscock, PRD, 1987; Burda, Gregory, Moss [1501.04937], PRL Strumia [2209.05504]

Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4

Compact objects and gravitational effects 

(Coleman-de Luccia, PRD, 1980)





Fig. from Oshita, Yamada, Yamaguchi [1808.01382], PLB Jinno, Kume, Yamada [2310.06901], PLB

Hiscock, PRD, 1987; Burda, Gregory, Moss [1501.04937], PRL Strumia [2209.05504]

Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4

#### Primordial density fluctuations



Fig. from Jinno, Konstandin, Rubira, van de Vis, [2108.11947], JCAP

Topological defects



Figs. from Agrawal, Nee [2202.11102] SciPost Phys.

4

Topological defects



Fig. from Agrawal, SB, Mariotti, Nee [2312.06749] JHEP

#### **Cosmic strings**



Fig. from Lee et al., [1310.3005], PRD Yajnik, PRD, 1986

Fig. from SB, Mariotti, [2405.08060] SciPost Phys.





Topological defects



Fig. from Agrawal, SB, Mariotti, Nee [2312.06749] JHEP

#### **Cosmic strings**



Fig. from Lee et al., [1310.3005], PRD Yajnik, PRD, 1986

Fig. from SB, Mariotti, [2405.08060] SciPost Phys.

Can be realized in superfluid He!





## **Topological classification**

Defect	Dimension	Homotopy	
Domain walls	2	$\pi_0(\mathcal{M})$	
Cosmic strings	1	$\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$	



 $DW \in x - y$ 



• SM + scalar singlet with  $\mathbb{Z}_2: S \to -S$ 



 $*\mathbb{Z}_2$  breaking terms destabilize the wall network and are set to zero in the following

See e.g. Espinosa, Gripaios, Konstandin, Riva [1110.2876] JCAP

#### Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4

4

• SM + scalar singlet with  $\mathbb{Z}_2: S \to -S$ 



 $^*\mathbb{Z}_2$  breaking terms destabilize the wall network and are set to zero in the following

See e.g. Espinosa, Gripaios, Konstandin, Riva [1110.2876] JCAP





• SM + scalar singlet with  $\mathbb{Z}_2: S \to -S$ 



 $^*\mathbb{Z}_2$  breaking terms destabilize the wall network and are set to zero in the following

See e.g. Espinosa, Gripaios, Konstandin, Riva [1110.2876] JCAP





 Competition between homogenous and seeded nucleation for 2nd step:





At  $T_n^{seed}$  bubbles may nucleate on the walls



 Competition between homogenous and seeded nucleation for 2nd step:





At  $T_n^{seed}$  bubbles may nucleate on the walls

- Define nucleation rate per unit surface
- Stricter nucleation condition (only on sub manifold)

Lazarides, Shafi, Kibble 1982, PRD Perkins, Vilenkin 1992, PRD



#### Only O(2) symmetry

1. Solving coupled system of PDEs	2. <b>Thin w</b>
<ul> <li>"Exact"</li> </ul>	• Limi
<ul> <li>Physical picture?</li> </ul>	• Intui
<ul> <li>Which initial conditions for the algorithm?</li> </ul>	• Simp

Agrawal, SB, Mariotti, Nee [2312.06749] JHEP

#### Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4



- vall approximation
- ited validity
- itive picture
- ple calculation

- 3. Kaluza-Klein decomposition
  - Quantitative results
  - Still intuitive
  - Initial conditions for num. algorithms and cross-checks



### 1. Equations of motion

False vacuum is non-trivial as it depends on z

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi}, \quad \phi =$$
  
$$S(\infty, z) = S_{DW}(z), \quad S(r, \pm \infty) =$$

 $h(\infty, z) = h(\rho, \pm \infty) = 0$ 

Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4



### 2. Thin wall approximation



Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4



in string-wall networks)



 Expand the fields around the domain wall background:

$$S = S_{DW}(z) + \sum_{k} s_{k} (x_{\mu}) \sigma_{k}(z)$$
$$h = \sum_{k} h_{k} (x_{\mu}) \phi_{k}(z)$$

$$x_{\mu} = t, x, y$$

Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4



Z

 Expand the fields around the domain wall background:

$$S = S_{DW}(z) + \sum_{k} s_{k} (x_{\mu}) \sigma_{k}(z)$$
$$h = \sum_{k} h_{k} (x_{\mu}) \phi_{k}(z)$$

$$x_{\mu} = t, x, y$$

• Eigenspectrum of excitations:



See also "Solitons and instantons", Rajaraman 1982





• Integrate along z to obtain 3d action and • Eigenspectrum of excitations: integrate out continuum excitations:

$$S_{3d} = \int d^3x \, \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} h_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} s_0)^2 - V_{3d}^{\text{eff}}(h_0, s_0)$$



See also "Solitons and instantons", Rajaraman 1982



• Integrate along z to obtain 3d action and • Eigenspectrum of excitations: integrate out continuum excitations:

$$S_{3d} = \int d^3x \, \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} h_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} s_0)^2 - V_{3d}^{\text{eff}}(h_0, s_0)^2$$

Seeded tunneling as homogeneous problem in lower dimension!

Tunneling trajectory can be obtained with standard tools CosmoTransitions



See also "Solitons and instantons", Rajaraman 1982



Comparison of the various methods:



Agrawal, SB, Mariotti, Nee [2312.06749] JHEP



## Pheno implications

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

quartic

singlet

- Seeded transition is faster in all the two—step parameter space
- New parameter space becomes viable thanks to seeded tunneling

#### $m_S = 250 \text{ GeV}$



4

## Pheno implications

- *Homogenous PT*: bubble size and strength are strongly correlated
- Seeded PT: pheno controlled by the # of defects per Hubble volume  $\xi$
- 1. Sparse networks  $\xi \sim 1$ : size determined by average distance between defects
- 2. Dense networks  $\xi \gg 1$ : bubble size determined by nucleation rate



Latent heat  $\alpha$ 



Peak frequency [Hz]

4

## **Higgsless-hydro simulation**

• Domain wall network mimicked by Ising model



Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4

Fig. from SB, Jinno, Konstandin, Rubira, Stomberg [2302.06952] JCAP

Spectrum shifted to IR with enhanced amplitude



## **Tunneling from real-time simulations**

Langevin approach to mimic the thermal bath via fluctuation-dissipation:

$$(\partial_t^2 - \nabla^2)\phi(\vec{x}, t) + \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi} + \eta \dot{\phi}(\vec{x}, t) = \xi(\vec{x}, t)$$
$$)\xi(\vec{x}', t')\rangle = \Omega \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')\delta(t - t') \qquad \Omega = 2\eta k_B T$$
$$V(\phi)$$

$$(\partial_t^2 - \nabla^2)\phi(\vec{x}, t) + \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi} + \eta \dot{\phi}(\vec{x}, t) = \xi(\vec{x}, t)$$
$$\langle \xi(\vec{x}, t)\xi(\vec{x}', t')\rangle = \Omega \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')\delta(t - t') \qquad \Omega = 2\eta k_B T$$
$$V(\phi)$$

Initialize the system in the false vacuum, evolve in time, and wait for nucleation


### **Tunneling from real-time simulations**

• Applying this method for the first time to seeded nucleation in d = 2 + 1:



# **Tunneling from real-time simulations**

• Extracting the continuum limit with lattice counter-terms (1-loop):

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. from Moore, Rummukainen, Tranberg [hep-lat/0103036], JHEP

### **Theory prediction: homogenous**

• Thermal nucleation rate per unit volume in d + 1:

$$A_{\text{stat}} = \left(\frac{S[\phi_{\text{b}}]}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} \left| \frac{\det'\left(-\nabla^2 + V''\right)}{\det\left(-\nabla^2 + V''\right)} \right|^2$$
$$A_{\text{dyn}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\sqrt{|\lambda_-|} + \frac{\eta^2}{4} - \frac{\eta}{2}\right)$$

See e.g. Ekstedt, Gould, Hirvonen [2308.15652], JHEP

![](_page_38_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_8.jpeg)

## **Theory prediction: homogenous**

• In general the determinant involves a product of spherical harmonics:

$$\frac{\det\left(-\nabla^2 + W(r)\right)}{\det\left(-\nabla^2 + W(\infty)\right)} = \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\det\left(-\nabla_l^2 + W(r)\right)}{\det\left(-\nabla_l^2 + W(\infty)\right)}\right]^{\deg(d;l)}$$
$$\nabla_l^2 = \partial^2 + \frac{d-1}{r}\partial - \frac{l(l+d-2)}{r^2}$$

Need to account for zero modes and renormalization

See e.g. Ekstedt, Gould, Hirvonen [2308.15652], JHEP

- Toy model of thermal seeded tunneling in d = 2 + 1
- Nucleation rate per unit wall length estimated as:

$$\Gamma = \sigma_{\rm DW} e^{-B/T}$$

![](_page_40_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_8.jpeg)

- Toy model of thermal seeded tunneling in d = 2 + 1
- Nucleation rate per unit wall length estimated as:

$$\Gamma = \sigma_{\rm DW} e^{-B/T}$$

![](_page_41_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_8.jpeg)

- Toy model of thermal seeded tunneling in d = 2 + 1
- Nucleation rate per unit wall length estimated as:

$$\Gamma = e^{-B/T}$$

• How to properly calculate the rate taking into account the fluctuation determinant?

![](_page_42_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_8.jpeg)

• Consider the d = 1 + 1 dimensionally-reduced theory on the domain wall

$$S_{1+1}[\phi_0] = \int dz dt \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi_0) \right\}$$
$$\tilde{V}(\phi_0) = \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 \phi_0^2 - \frac{1}{3!} c_3 \phi_0$$

The 1+1 theory describes homogenous nucleation: we can apply standard results!

![](_page_43_Figure_6.jpeg)

Determinant around the bounce solution as\*:

$$\left|\frac{\det'}{\det}\right|^{-1/2} = \left((2\pi)^{d/2-1}\phi_{\infty}\frac{1}{d}\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi}(\phi_b)\right)$$

$$\phi \sim \phi_F + \phi_{\infty}K(d/2 - 1, m_F r)(r)$$

\*Obtained from 
$$l = 1$$
 in  $d = 3$  result in Ekstedt,  
Gould, Hirvonen [2308.15652], JHEP

![](_page_44_Figure_6.jpeg)

• Dynamical factor similarly obtained as

$$A_{\rm dyn} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \sqrt{|\lambda_{-}|} + \frac{\eta^2}{4} - \frac{\eta}{2} \right)$$
  
Negative eigenv  
growth of critic

$$\left(-\partial^2 - \frac{d-1}{r}\partial + V''(\phi_{\rm b})\right)f(r) = \lambda$$

Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4

![](_page_45_Figure_6.jpeg)

 $h^{\mu}(x) = h(r)\phi_0(z,t)$ 

#### **Real-time simulation vs theory**

• Theory prediction:

$$\frac{\Gamma_T}{\sigma_{\rm DW} \cdot e^{-B/T}} \sim 10^{-2} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 10^6 \\ & & \\$$

#### (preliminary) L = 100C++ • $\eta = 1$ $-\eta = 0.1$ 100 $N_x = N_y = 200, \ a = 0.5, \ dt/a^2 = 0.09$ $3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad 10$ $T \cdot 10^{-3}$

#### What about other defects?

SB, Mariotti [2405.08060] SciPost Phys.

Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4

# **QCD** axion strings T $f_a$ Strings form at PQ phase transition Strings connected by axion domain walls QCD String—wall network collapses

Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **QCD** axion strings T $f_a$ Strings form at PQ phase transition ??? Strings connected by axion domain walls QCD String—wall network collapses

Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

Potential for PQ field

 $\Phi = \rho e^{i\alpha}$ 

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $V_{\rm PQ}(\Phi)$ 

#### Global string solution

![](_page_50_Figure_7.jpeg)

Consider the minimal KSVZ axion model with a Higgs portal: lacksquare

 $\mathcal{V} = V_{\mathrm{PQ}}(|\Phi|) + V_{\mathrm{EW}}(|\mathcal{H}|; 7$  $\int \eta \left( |\Phi|^2 - \frac{f_a^2}{2} \right)^2$ 

$$T) + \kappa \left( |\Phi|^2 - \frac{f_a^2}{2} \right) \left( |\mathcal{H}|^2 - \frac{v^2}{2} \right)$$

Consider the minimal KSVZ axion model with a Higgs portal: lacksquare

 $\mathcal{V} = V_{\mathrm{PQ}}(|\Phi|) + V_{\mathrm{EW}}(|\mathcal{H}|; 7$  $\int \eta \left( |\Phi|^2 - \frac{f_a^2}{2} \right)^2$ 

$$T) + \kappa \left( |\Phi|^2 - \frac{f_a^2}{2} \right) \left( |\mathcal{H}|^2 - \frac{v^2}{2} \right)$$

Consider the minimal KSVZ axion model with a Higgs portal:

 $\mathcal{V} = V_{\mathrm{PQ}}(|\Phi|) + V_{\mathrm{EW}}(|\mathcal{H}|; 7$ 

![](_page_53_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$T) + \kappa \left( |\Phi|^2 - \frac{f_a^2}{2} \right) \left( |\mathcal{H}|^2 - \frac{v^2}{2} \right)$$

How do axion strings affect electroweak symmetry breaking?

![](_page_53_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### EFT with heavy defects

- Large hierarchy between the mass of the Higgs and the PQ radial mode
- Physics captured by electroweak scale EFT, SM + axion (or ALP):

$$S_{\rm EFT}[h] = \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu h)^2 - V_{\rm EW}(h) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\partial_\mu \alpha)^2 h^2 + \pi \frac{\kappa}{\eta} C(\epsilon) \delta^{(2)}(r-\epsilon) h^2 \right\}$$

the Higgs and the PQ radial mode le EFT, SM + axion (or ALP):

#### EFT with heavy defects

- Large hierarchy between the mass of the Higgs and the PQ radial mode
- Physics captured by electroweak scale EFT, SM + axion (or ALP):

$$S_{\rm EFT}[h] = \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu h)^2 - V_{\rm EW}(h) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\kappa}{\eta} (\partial_\mu \alpha)^2 h^2 + \pi \frac{\kappa}{\eta} C(\epsilon) \delta^{(2)}(r-\epsilon) h^2 \right\}$$

the Higgs and the PQ radial mode le EFT, SM + axion (or ALP):

•  $\delta$ -potential imposes UV matching condition:

$$\epsilon h'(\epsilon) = -C(\epsilon) \frac{\kappa}{\eta} h(\epsilon)$$
$$\epsilon \sim 1/m_{\rho}$$

# **Two possibilities** SM + PQ

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

## FOPT + PQ

• Consider first order EWPT with false vacuum B metastable at T = 0

$$V_{\rm EW}(h;T)$$

![](_page_57_Figure_5.jpeg)

 $V_{\rm EW}(h;T) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mu^2 - c_h T^2\right) h^2 + \frac{\delta}{3} \frac{m_h^2}{v^2} h^3 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda h^4$ 

## FOPT + PQ

• Consider first order EWPT with false vacuum B metastable at T = 0

$$V_{\rm EW}(h;T)$$

Assume too slow hom. nucleation for simplicity

![](_page_58_Picture_4.jpeg)

 $V_{\rm EW}(h;T) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mu^2 - c_h T^2\right) h^2 + \frac{\delta}{3} \frac{m_h^2}{n^2} h^3 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda h^4$ 

# FOPT + PQ $\bullet B \rightarrow \bullet A$ $= E_{\text{hom}}$

#### Critical bubble

#### Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4

![](_page_60_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_0.jpeg)

Simone Blasi - GW BSM 4

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

### $\ll E_{\rm hom}$ ( $\kappa/\eta \sim {\rm crit.}$ )

#### FOPT + PQ

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

See also Yajnik, PRD (1986)

#### FOPT + PQ

 Comparison of homogenous nucleation probability vs string-seeded tunneling:

![](_page_64_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Critical bubble:

![](_page_64_Figure_6.jpeg)

Consider the DFSZ model where two Higgs doublets share a PQ charge:

$$\mathcal{L} = |\partial_{\mu}S|^{2} + |D_{\mu}H_{1}|^{2} + |D_{\mu}H_{2}|^{2} - V_{S}(|S|) - V_{EW}(H_{1}, H_{2}) - \left(\kappa S^{2}H_{1}^{\dagger}H_{2} + h_{2}\right)$$

$$H^{-1}$$

$$U(1) \text{ symmetry with massless } A^{0}$$

$$H_{1}, H_{2}$$

$$H_{1}, H_{2}$$

$$\xi \sim 1$$

$$W(1) \text{ symmetry of } K \text{ affect EWSB?}$$

$$SB, Y. \text{ Hamada, in prep.}$$

![](_page_65_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Consider a toy model with PQ scalar + complex Higgs, in the string background:

 $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} h)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} h^{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi)^{2} - V_{\rm EW}(h) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \rho^{2}(r) h^{2} \cos(2\theta + 2\phi)$ 

• Consider  $\kappa \ll 1$  and perturb around the homogeneous, spherical, solution:

$$h = h(R) + \kappa \,\delta h, \quad \phi = \not \epsilon + \kappa \,\delta \phi$$

Decoupled linear equations for the fluctuations with a source term:  $\bullet$ 

$$\exists \delta h + V_{\rm EW}''(h(R))\delta h = h(R)\rho^2(r)\cos(2\theta + 2c)$$
 (Higgs equation)

$$\partial_{\mu} \left[ h^2(R) \partial^{\mu} \delta \phi \right] = -h^2(R) \rho^2$$

 $r(r)\sin(2\theta + 2c)$  (Goldstone equation)

• Solution for  $\delta h$  from spectral decomposition:

$$\mathcal{O}\delta h = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda}\phi_{\lambda}(x) = S(x)$$
$$\delta h = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda}\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}\phi_{\lambda}(x) \quad c_{\lambda} = \int dx \,\phi_{\lambda}^{*}(x)$$

• Perturbation dominated by  $\ell = 2$  and m = 2:

$$\delta h \sim Y_{22}(\theta, \phi) R_{22}(R) + \frac{h(R)}{m_h^2} \cos \theta$$

![](_page_68_Figure_6.jpeg)

• Seeded nucleation actually disfavored for all  $\kappa \neq 0$ 

![](_page_69_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Figure_6.jpeg)

- Seeded nucleation actually disfavored for all  $\kappa \neq 0$
- However we still need to account for KSVZ-type interactions

$$V_{\rm mix} = \left(\kappa S^2 H_1^{\dagger} H_2 + \right)$$

h.c.) +  $\kappa_{1S}|S|^2|H_1|^2 + \kappa_{2S}|S|^2|H_2|^2$ 

### Summary and outlook

- The presence of impurities in the early Universe can strongly affect the way a phase transition proceeds
- The xSM with  $Z_2$  symmetry is arguably the simplest (and complete) example for an EWPT seeded by domain walls
- Other defects can exist at the time of the EWPT: dedicated study of QCD axion strings in KSVZ model with Higgs portal, and extension to DFSZ
- Pheno aspects of seeded phase transitions: percolation, slow transitions, expansion of non—spherical bubbles, features in the GW signal?
- New opportunities to study tunneling in quantum/thermal field theory
## Summary and outlook

- The presence of impurities in the early Universe can strongly affect the way a phase transition proceeds
- The xSM with  $Z_2$  symmetry is arguably the simplest (and complete) example for an EWPT seeded by domain walls
- Other defects can exist at the time of the EWPT: dedicated study of QCD axion strings in KSVZ model with Higgs portal, and extension to DFSZ
- Pheno aspects of seeded phase transitions: percolation, slow transitions, expansion of non—spherical bubbles, features in the GW signal?
- New opportunities to study tunneling in quantum/thermal field theory

## Thank you!

